

## Mobility

*Cowell  
Flachaire*

### The Setting

Underlying problem  
Measures  
The approach

### Theory

Status vectors and  
mobility  
Aggregate mobility  
index  
Discussion

### Statistical Inference

Income mobility  
Rank mobility

### Finite sample performance

Income mobility  
Rank mobility

### Conclusion

# Measuring Mobility

Frank Cowell and Emmanuel Flachaire

London School of Economics and GREQAM, Aix-Marseille University

ESEM, August 2012

# Approaches to mobility

## Mobility

*Cowell  
Flachaire*

The Setting

Underlying problem

Measures

The approach

Theory

Status vectors and  
mobility

Aggregate mobility  
index

Discussion

Statistical  
Inference

Income mobility

Rank mobility

Finite sample  
performance

Income mobility

Rank mobility

Conclusion

- Model of mobility often depends on application:
  - income or wealth mobility
  - wage mobility
  - educational mobility
  - mobility in terms of social status
- Measurement addressed from different standpoints
  - in relation to a specific dynamic model
  - as an abstract distributional concept
- Focus on the mobility measures in the abstract
  - covers income or wealth mobility
  - covers also “rank” mobility where the underlying data are categorical
  - separates out the fundamental components of the mobility-measurement problem

# Mobility modelling

## Mobility

*Cowell  
Flachaire*

### The Setting

Underlying problem

Measures

The approach

### Theory

Status vectors and mobility

Aggregate mobility index

Discussion

### Statistical

### Inference

Income mobility

Rank mobility

### Finite sample performance

Income mobility

Rank mobility

### Conclusion

- Basic information is the temporal pair  $z_i = (x_{i,t-1}, x_{i,t})$
- Bivariate distribution
  - distribution function  $F(x_{t-1}, x_t)$
  - marginal distributions  $F_{t-1}$  and  $F_t$  give income distribution in each period
- Time-aggregated income
  - derived from  $(x_{i,t-1}, x_{i,t})$  using weights  $w_{t-1}, w_t$
  - $\tilde{x}_i := w_{t-1}x_{i,t-1} + w_t x_{i,t}$
  - Distribution  $F_{\mathbf{w}}$  derived from  $F$

# Mobility measures in practice

## Mobility

Cowell  
Flachaire

### The Setting

Underlying problem

### Measures

The approach

### Theory

Status vectors and  
mobility

Aggregate mobility  
index

Discussion

### Statistical

### Inference

Income mobility

Rank mobility

### Finite sample

### performance

Income mobility

Rank mobility

### Conclusion

- Stability indices:  $1 - \frac{I(F_w)}{w_{t-1}I(F_{t-1}) + w_t I(F_t)}$
- Hart (1976):  $1 - r(\log x_{t-1}, \log x_t)$ 
  - where  $r$  is the correlation coefficient
- King (1983):  $1 - \left[ \frac{\int \int (x_t e^{\gamma r(F, (x_{t-1}, x_t))})^k dF(x_{t-1}, x_t)}{\mu_k(F_t)} \right]^{\frac{1}{k}}$ 
  - $k \leq 1, k \neq 0, \gamma \geq 0$
  - where  $r(F; (x_{t-1}, x_t))$  is a rank indicator:  
 $\mu_1(F_t)^{-1} |x_t - Q(F_t; F_{t-1}(x_{t-1}))|$
  - $Q(G; q) := \inf\{x : G(x) \geq q\}$
- Fields-Ok (1999):  $c \int \int |\log x_{t-1} - \log x_t| dF(x_{t-1}, x_t)$

# Fundamentals

## Mobility

*Cowell  
Flachaire*

### The Setting

Underlying problem

#### Measures

The approach

### Theory

Status vectors and  
mobility

Aggregate mobility  
index

Discussion

### Statistical

#### Inference

Income mobility

Rank mobility

### Finite sample performance

Income mobility

Rank mobility

### Conclusion

- How to characterise mobility
  - in terms of individual income?
  - in terms of social position?
- Ingredients for a theory of mobility measurement:
  - ① time frame of two or more periods;
  - ② measure of individual status within society
  - ③ aggregation of changes in status over the time frame.

# Ingredients of the problem: classes

## Mobility

Cowell  
Flachaire

### The Setting

Underlying problem

Measures

The approach

### Theory

Status vectors and  
mobility

Aggregate mobility  
index

Discussion

### Statistical Inference

Income mobility

Rank mobility

### Finite sample performance

Income mobility

Rank mobility

### Conclusion

- “Income” as a generic term
  - any cardinally measurable, comparable quantity
  - cardinality turns out not to be crucial for our approach
- Ordered set of  $K$  income classes
  - class  $k$  is associated with income level  $x_k$  where  $x_k < x_{k+1}$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, K - 1$
  - $p_k \in \mathbb{R}_+$  is the size of class  $k$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, K$  and
  - $\sum_{k=1}^K p_k = n$ , the size of the population
- $k_0(i)$  and  $k_1(i)$ : income class occupied by person  $i$  in periods 0 and 1 respectively
- mobility characterised by  $\left(x_{k_0(1)}, \dots, x_{k_0(n)}\right)$  and  $\left(x_{k_1(1)}, \dots, x_{k_1(n)}\right)$

# Ingredients of the problem: valuation

## Mobility

*Cowell  
Flachaire*

### The Setting

Underlying problem

Measures

The approach

### Theory

Status vectors and  
mobility

Aggregate mobility  
index

Discussion

### Statistical

### Inference

Income mobility

Rank mobility

### Finite sample performance

Income mobility

Rank mobility

### Conclusion

- Don't have to use simple aggregation of the  $x_k$  to compute mobility index
- Could carry out a relabelling of the income classes
- For example use  $n_0(x_k) := \sum_{h=1}^k p_h$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, K$ 
  - number of persons in, or below, each income class
  - according to the distribution in period 0
- Suppose that the class sizes  $(p_1, \dots, p_K)$  in period 0 change to  $(q_1, \dots, q_K)$  in period 1
- Relabelling the income classes:  $n_1(x_k) := \sum_{h=1}^k q_h$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, K$

# Ingredients of the problem: status

## Mobility

Cowell  
Flachaire

## The Setting

Underlying problem

Measures

The approach

## Theory

Status vectors and  
mobility

Aggregate mobility  
index

Discussion

## Statistical

## Inference

Income mobility

Rank mobility

## Finite sample performance

Income mobility

Rank mobility

## Conclusion

- $u_i, v_i$  denote individual  $i$ 's status in the 0-distribution, the 1-distribution
- personal history:  $z_i := (u_i, v_i)$
- *Distribution-independent, static (1)*.  $z_i = (x_{k_0(i)}, x_{k_1(i)})$
- *Distribution-independent, static (2)*.  
 $z_i = \left( \varphi(x_{k_0(i)}), \varphi(x_{k_1(i)}) \right)$ 
  - $\varphi$  essentially arbitrary (utility of  $x$ ?)
  - mobility independent of  $\varphi$ ?
- *Distribution-dependent, static*.  
 $z_i = \left( n_0(x_{k_0(i)}), n_0(x_{k_1(i)}) \right)$ 
  - cumulative numbers in class "value" the class
- *Distribution-dependent, dynamic*.  
 $z_i = \left( n_0(x_{k_0(i)}), n_1(x_{k_1(i)}) \right)$ .



# Example

## Mobility

*Cowell  
Flachaire*

### The Setting

Underlying problem

Measures

The approach

### Theory

Status vectors and  
mobility

Aggregate mobility  
index

Discussion

### Statistical

### Inference

Income mobility

Rank mobility

### Finite sample performance

Income mobility

Rank mobility

### Conclusion

- Consider the following example:

	period 0	period 1
$x_1$	A	–
$x_2$	B	A
$x_3$	C	B
$x_4$	–	C
$x_5$	–	–

- Different definitions of status will produce different evaluations of such a change.

# Basic axioms

## Mobility

Cowell  
Flachaire

### The Setting

Underlying problem

Measures

The approach

### Theory

Status vectors and  
mobility

Aggregate mobility  
index

Discussion

### Statistical Inference

Income mobility

Rank mobility

### Finite sample performance

Income mobility

Rank mobility

### Conclusion

- Let  $m$  be individual mobility, increasing in  $|u_i - v_i|$
- **Continuity**  $\succeq$  is continuous on  $Z^n$
- **Monotonicity.** If  $\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z}' \in Z^n$  differ only in their  $i$ th component then  $m(u_i, v_i) > m(u'_i, v'_i) \iff \mathbf{z} \succ \mathbf{z}'$ .
- **Independence.** For  $\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z}' \in Z^n$  such that:  $\mathbf{z} \sim \mathbf{z}'$  and  $z_i = z'_i$  for some  $i$  then  $\mathbf{z}(\zeta, i) \sim \mathbf{z}'(\zeta, i)$  for all  $\zeta \in [z_{i-1}, z_{i+1}] \cap [z'_{i-1}, z'_{i+1}]$ .
- **Local immobility.** Let  $\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z}' \in Z^n$  be such that, for some  $i$  and  $j$ ,  $u_i = v_i$ ,  $u_j = v_j$ ,  $u'_i = u_i + \delta$ ,  $v'_i = v_i + \delta$ ,  $u'_j = u_j - \delta$ ,  $v'_j = v_j - \delta$  and, for all  $h \neq i, j$ ,  $u'_h = u_h$ ,  $v'_h = v_h$ . Then  $\mathbf{z} \sim \mathbf{z}'$ .

# Representation results (1)

## Mobility

Cowell  
Flachaire

### The Setting

Underlying problem

Measures

The approach

### Theory

Status vectors and  
mobility

Aggregate mobility  
index

Discussion

### Statistical Inference

Income mobility

Rank mobility

### Finite sample performance

Income mobility

Rank mobility

### Conclusion

- **Theorem.** Given basic axioms:
  - $\succeq$  is representable by the continuous function given by  $\sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(z_i), \forall \mathbf{z} \in Z^n$
  - $\phi_i : Z \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a continuous function that is strictly decreasing in  $|u_i - v_i|$
  - $\phi_i(u, u) = a_i + b_i u$ .
- **Corollary.**  $\succeq$  is also representable by
  - $\phi(\sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(z_i))$
  - $\phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous and strictly monotonic increasing.

# Representation results (2)

## Mobility

Cowell  
Flachaire

### The Setting

Underlying problem  
Measures  
The approach

### Theory

Status vectors and  
mobility  
Aggregate mobility  
index  
Discussion

### Statistical Inference

Income mobility  
Rank mobility

### Finite sample performance

Income mobility  
Rank mobility

### Conclusion

- **Status scale irrelevance.** For any  $\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z}' \in Z^n$  such that  $\mathbf{z} \sim \mathbf{z}'$ ,  $t\mathbf{z} \sim t\mathbf{z}'$  for all  $t > 0$ :
- **Theorem.** Given Basic axioms and scale irrelevance:
  - $\succeq$  is representable by  $\phi \left( \sum_{i=1}^n u_i H_i \left( \frac{u_i}{v_i} \right) \right)$
  - where  $H_i$  is a real-valued function.

# Representation results (3)

## Mobility

Cowell  
Flachaire

### The Setting

Underlying problem

Measures

The approach

### Theory

Status vectors and  
mobility

Aggregate mobility  
index

Discussion

### Statistical

Inference

Income mobility

Rank mobility

Finite sample  
performance

Income mobility

Rank mobility

### Conclusion

- This suggests we can compare the  $(u, v)$  vectors in different parts of the distribution in terms of proportional differences
  - $m(z_i) = \max\left(\frac{u_i}{v_i}, \frac{v_i}{u_i}\right)$
- **Mobility scale irrelevance.** Suppose there are  $\mathbf{z}_0, \mathbf{z}'_0 \in Z^n$  such that  $\mathbf{z}_0 \sim \mathbf{z}'_0$ . Then for all  $t > 0$  and  $\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z}'$  such that  $m(\mathbf{z}) = tm(\mathbf{z}_0)$  and  $m(\mathbf{z}') = tm(\mathbf{z}'_0)$ :  $\mathbf{z} \sim \mathbf{z}'$ .
- **Theorem.** Given our axioms  $\succeq$  is representable by  $\Phi(\mathbf{z}) = \phi\left(\sum_{i=1}^n u_i^\alpha v_i^{1-\alpha}\right)$ 
  - where  $\alpha \neq 1$  is a constant.

# Generating an aggregate mobility index

## Mobility

Cowell  
Flachaire

### The Setting

Underlying problem

Measures

The approach

### Theory

Status vectors and  
mobility

Aggregate mobility  
index

Discussion

### Statistical

### Inference

Income mobility

Rank mobility

### Finite sample performance

Income mobility

Rank mobility

### Conclusion

- Consider a subset of  $Z$  :
  - $Z(\bar{u}, \bar{v}) := \{\mathbf{z} \in Z \mid \sum_{i=1}^n z_i = (\bar{u}, \bar{v})\}$ .
- From theorem 3, that the mobility index must take the form:
  - $\Phi(\mathbf{z}) = \bar{\phi}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n u_i^\alpha v_i^{1-\alpha}; \bar{u}, \bar{v}\right)$ .
- $\Phi(\mathbf{z})$  should be zero when there is no mobility
  - using the standard interpretation of mobility
  - $\bar{\phi}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n u_i; \bar{u}, \bar{u}\right) = 0$ ,
  - i.e.  $\bar{\phi}(\bar{u}; \bar{u}, \bar{u}) = 0$ .

# Using a broader interpretation of zero mobility

## Mobility

Cowell  
Flachaire

### The Setting

Underlying problem

Measures

The approach

### Theory

Status vectors and  
mobility

Aggregate mobility  
index

Discussion

### Statistical Inference

Income mobility

Rank mobility

### Finite sample performance

Income mobility

Rank mobility

### Conclusion

- Scaling up everyone's status should not matter
  - $v_i = \lambda u_i, i = 1, \dots, n$  (where  $\lambda = \bar{v}/\bar{u}$ )
  - $\bar{\phi} \left( \lambda^{1-\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^n u_i; \bar{u}, \bar{v} \right) = 0$
  - $\bar{\phi} \left( \bar{u}^\alpha \bar{v}^{1-\alpha}; \bar{u}, \bar{v} \right) = 0$ .
- This requires  $\phi$  and  $\bar{\phi}$  are equivalent to:
  - $\psi \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \left[ \frac{u_i}{\mu_u} \right]^\alpha \left[ \frac{v_i}{\mu_v} \right]^{1-\alpha} \right)$ .
- A suitable cardinalisation of  $\psi(\cdot)$  gives  $M$ .
  - $M_\alpha := \frac{1}{\alpha[\alpha-1]n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left[ \left[ \frac{u_i}{\mu_u} \right]^\alpha \left[ \frac{v_i}{\mu_v} \right]^{1-\alpha} - 1 \right]$ .

# Limiting cases

## Mobility

Cowell  
Flachaire

### The Setting

Underlying problem

Measures

The approach

### Theory

Status vectors and  
mobility

Aggregate mobility  
index

Discussion

### Statistical Inference

Income mobility

Rank mobility

### Finite sample performance

Income mobility

Rank mobility

### Conclusion

- Two limiting cases
- $\alpha = 0$ :
  - $M_0 = -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{v_i}{\mu_v} \log \left( \frac{u_i}{\mu_u} / \frac{v_i}{\mu_v} \right)$ ,
- $\alpha = 1$ 
  - $M_1 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{u_i}{\mu_u} \log \left( \frac{u_i}{\mu_u} / \frac{v_i}{\mu_v} \right)$ .
- We have a *class* of aggregate mobility measures
  - high  $\alpha > 0$ :  $M$  sensitive to downward movements
  - $\alpha < 0$ :  $M$  sensitive to upward movements



# Discussion 1

## Mobility

Cowell  
Flachaire

### The Setting

Underlying problem  
Measures  
The approach

### Theory

Status vectors and  
mobility  
Aggregate mobility  
index

### Discussion

### Statistical Inference

Income mobility  
Rank mobility

### Finite sample performance

Income mobility  
Rank mobility

### Conclusion

- Concerned with *ranks* not *income levels*? Then make status an ordinal concept (Chakravarty 1984)
- Variety of ways to define status ordinally: mobility tables or transition matrices.
- However, these approaches are sensitive to the adjustment of class boundaries:
  - Consider the case where in the original set of classes  $p_k = 0$  and  $p_{k+1} > 0$ ;
  - if the mobility index is sensitive to small values of  $p$  and the income boundary between classes  $k$  and  $k + 1$  is adjusted there could be a big jump in the mobility index.
  - This will not happen if the index is defined in terms of  $u_i$  and  $v_i$

# Discussion 2

## Mobility

*Cowell  
Flachaire*

### The Setting

Underlying problem  
Measures  
The approach

### Theory

Status vectors and  
mobility  
Aggregate mobility  
index

### Discussion

### Statistical Inference

Income mobility  
Rank mobility

### Finite sample performance

Income mobility  
Rank mobility

### Conclusion

- The derivation is value free. Can we introduce a social valuation of mobility?
- Could construct an explicit welfare approach
  - something analagous to Atkinson inequality? (Gottschalk-Spolaore 2002)
  - but you must go beyond simplistic welfare models (Markandya 1982, 1983)
- Can also introduce normative elements in the above framework
  - definition of status
  - value range of  $\alpha$

# Income mobility

## Mobility

Cowell  
Flachaire

### The Setting

Underlying problem

Measures

The approach

### Theory

Status vectors and  
mobility

Aggregate mobility  
index

Discussion

### Statistical Inference

Income mobility

Rank mobility

### Finite sample performance

Income mobility

Rank mobility

### Conclusion

- Simplest case: status *before* and *after*
  - i.e. distribution-independent, static
  - Movements of incomes:  $u_i = x_{0i}$  and  $v_i = x_{1i}$ .
- Define moment  $\mu_{g(u,v)} = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n g(u_i, v_i)$ 
  - $g(\cdot)$  is a specific function
  - consider three cases:  $M_\alpha$ ,  $M_0$  and  $M_1$

# General case

## Mobility

Cowell  
Flachaire

### The Setting

Underlying problem

Measures

The approach

### Theory

Status vectors and  
mobility

Aggregate mobility  
index

Discussion

### Statistical Inference

Income mobility

Rank mobility

### Finite sample performance

Income mobility

Rank mobility

### Conclusion

- Rewrite the index as  $M_\alpha = \frac{1}{\alpha(1-\alpha)} \left[ \frac{n^{-1} \sum u_i^\alpha v_i^{1-\alpha}}{\mu_u^\alpha \mu_v^{1-\alpha}} - 1 \right]$ .
- In terms of moments:  $M_\alpha = \frac{1}{\alpha(\alpha-1)} \left[ \frac{\mu_{uv}^{\alpha-1}}{\mu_u^\alpha \mu_v^{1-\alpha}} - 1 \right]$ .
- Central Limit Theorem implies asymptotic normality under standard regularity conditions.
- $\widehat{\text{Var}}(M_\alpha) = D \hat{\Sigma} D^\top$  with  $D = \left[ \frac{\partial M_\alpha}{\partial \mu_u} ; \frac{\partial M_\alpha}{\partial \mu_v} ; \frac{\partial M_\alpha}{\partial \mu_{uv}^{\alpha-1}} \right]$
- $D$  in terms of sample moments:  
$$D = \left[ \frac{-\mu_{uv}^{\alpha-1} \mu_u^{-\alpha-1} \mu_v^{\alpha-1}}{(\alpha-1)} ; \frac{\mu_{uv}^{\alpha-1} \mu_u^{-\alpha} \mu_v^{\alpha-2}}{\alpha} ; \frac{\mu_u^{-\alpha} \mu_v^{\alpha-1}}{\alpha(\alpha-1)} \right]$$
.

# Covariance matrix

## Mobility

*Cowell  
Flachaire*

### The Setting

Underlying problem  
Measures  
The approach

### Theory

Status vectors and  
mobility  
Aggregate mobility  
index  
Discussion

### Statistical Inference

Income mobility  
Rank mobility

### Finite sample performance

Income mobility  
Rank mobility

### Conclusion

- $\hat{\Sigma}$  is the estimator of the covariance matrix of  $\mu_u$ ,  $\mu_v$  and  $\mu_u^\alpha v^{1-\alpha}$
- We have:

$$\hat{\Sigma} = \frac{1}{n} \begin{bmatrix} \mu_u^2 - (\mu_u)^2 & \mu_{uv} - \mu_u \mu_v & \mu_u^{1+\alpha} v^{1-\alpha} - \mu_u \mu_u^\alpha v^{1-\alpha} \\ \mu_{uv} - \mu_u \mu_v & \mu_v^2 - (\mu_v)^2 & \mu_u^\alpha v^{2-\alpha} - \mu_v \mu_u^\alpha v^{1-\alpha} \\ \mu_u^{1+\alpha} v^{1-\alpha} - \mu_u \mu_u^\alpha v^{1-\alpha} & \mu_u^\alpha v^{2-\alpha} - \mu_v \mu_u^\alpha v^{1-\alpha} & \mu_u^{2\alpha} v^{2-2\alpha} - (\mu_u^\alpha v^{1-\alpha})^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

# Limiting case (1)

## Mobility

Cowell  
Flachaire

### The Setting

Underlying problem

Measures

The approach

### Theory

Status vectors and  
mobility

Aggregate mobility  
index

Discussion

### Statistical

### Inference

#### Income mobility

Rank mobility

### Finite sample performance

Income mobility

Rank mobility

### Conclusion

- $M_0$  as a function of four moments:

$$M_0 = \frac{\mu_v \log v - \mu_v \log u}{\mu_v} + \log \left( \frac{\mu_u}{\mu_v} \right).$$

- $\widehat{\text{Var}}(M_0) = D_0 \hat{\Sigma}_0 D_0^\top$

- $D_0 = \left[ \frac{\partial M_0}{\partial \mu_u} ; \frac{\partial M_0}{\partial \mu_v} ; \frac{\partial M_0}{\partial \mu_v \log v} ; \frac{\partial M_0}{\partial \mu_v \log u} \right]$

- $\hat{\Sigma}_0$ :

$$\frac{1}{n} \begin{bmatrix} \mu_u^2 - (\mu_u)^2 & \mu_{uv} - \mu_u \mu_v & \mu_{uv \log v} - \mu_u \mu_v \log v & \mu_{uv \log u} - \mu_u \mu_v \log u \\ \mu_{uv} - \mu_u \mu_v & \mu_v^2 - (\mu_v)^2 & \mu_{v^2 \log v} - \mu_v \mu_v \log v & \mu_{v^2 \log u} - \mu_v \mu_v \log u \\ \mu_{uv \log v} - \mu_u \mu_v \log v & \mu_{v^2 \log v} - \mu_v \mu_v \log v & \mu_{(v \log v)^2} - (\mu_v \log v)^2 & \mu_{v^2 \log u \log v} - \mu_v \log u \mu_v \log v \\ \mu_{uv \log u} - \mu_u \mu_v \log u & \mu_{v^2 \log u} - \mu_v \mu_v \log u & \mu_{v^2 \log u \log v} - \mu_v \log u \mu_v \log v & \mu_{(v \log u)^2} - (\mu_v \log u)^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

# Limiting case (2)

## Mobility

Cowell  
Flachaire

### The Setting

Underlying problem

Measures

The approach

### Theory

Status vectors and  
mobility

Aggregate mobility  
index

Discussion

### Statistical

### Inference

#### Income mobility

Rank mobility

### Finite sample performance

Income mobility

Rank mobility

### Conclusion

- $M_1$  as a function of four moments:

$$M_1 = \frac{\mu_u \log u - \mu_u \log v}{\mu_u} + \log \left( \frac{\mu_v}{\mu_u} \right)$$

- $\widehat{\text{Var}}(M_1) = D_1 \hat{\Sigma}_1 D_1^\top$  with

$$D_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial M_1}{\partial \mu_u} & ; & \frac{\partial M_1}{\partial \mu_v} & ; & \frac{\partial M_1}{\partial \mu_u \log u} & ; & \frac{\partial M_1}{\partial \mu_u \log v} \end{bmatrix}$$

- $D_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{-\mu_u \log u + \mu_u \log v - \mu_u}{\mu_u^2} & ; & \frac{1}{\mu_v} & ; & \frac{1}{\mu_u} & ; & -\frac{1}{\mu_u} \end{bmatrix}$

- $\hat{\Sigma}_1$ :

$$\frac{1}{n} \begin{bmatrix} \mu_u^2 - (\mu_u)^2 & \mu_{uv} - \mu_u \mu_v & \mu_u^2 \log u - \mu_u \mu_u \log u & \mu_u^2 \log v - \mu_u \mu_u \log v \\ \mu_{uv} - \mu_u \mu_v & \mu_v^2 - (\mu_v)^2 & \mu_{uv} \log u - \mu_v \mu_u \log u & \mu_{uv} \log v - \mu_v \mu_u \log v \\ \mu_u^2 \log u - \mu_u \mu_u \log u & \mu_{uv} \log u - \mu_v \mu_u \log u & \mu_{(u \log u)^2} - (\mu_u \log u)^2 & \mu_u^2 \log u \log v - \mu_u \log u \mu_u \log v \\ \mu_u^2 \log v - \mu_u \mu_u \log v & \mu_{uv} \log v - \mu_v \mu_u \log v & \mu_u^2 \log u \log v - \mu_u \log u \mu_u \log v & \mu_{(u \log v)^2} - (\mu_u \log v)^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

# Rank mobility

## Mobility

Cowell  
Flachaire

### The Setting

Underlying problem

Measures

The approach

### Theory

Status vectors and  
mobility

Aggregate mobility  
index

Discussion

### Statistical Inference

Income mobility

Rank mobility

### Finite sample performance

Income mobility

Rank mobility

### Conclusion

- we now consider the *distribution-dependent, dynamic* status.
- Scale independence means we can define status using proportions
  - $u_i = \hat{F}_0(x_{0i})$  and  $v_i = \hat{F}_1(x_{1i})$
- $\hat{F}_0(\cdot)$  and  $\hat{F}_1(\cdot)$  are the empirical distribution functions
  - $\hat{F}_k(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n I(x_{kj} \leq x)$
- Method of moments does not apply as the values in  $u$  and  $v$  are non i.i.d.



# Establishing the asymptotic distribution

## Mobility

Cowell  
Flachaire

### The Setting

Underlying problem

Measures

The approach

### Theory

Status vectors and  
mobility

Aggregate mobility  
index

Discussion

### Statistical

#### Inference

Income mobility

Rank mobility

### Finite sample performance

Income mobility

Rank mobility

### Conclusion

- Ruymgaart and van Zuijlen (1978): asymptotic normality for the multivariate rank statistic

$$T_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n c_{in} \phi_1(u_i) \phi_2(v_i).$$

- $c_{in}$  are given real constants,  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  are (scores) functions defined on  $(0,1)$ ,
- these are allowed to tend to infinity near 0 and 1 but not too quickly.
- need to assume the existence of  $K_1$ ,  $a_1$  and  $a_2$ , s.t.  
 $\phi_1(t) \leq \frac{K_1}{[t(1-t)]^{a_1}}$  and  $\phi_2(t) \leq \frac{K_1}{[t(1-t)]^{a_2}}$  with  $a_1 + a_2 < \frac{1}{2}$  for  $t \in (0,1)$ .
- Then,  $\phi_1(t)$  and  $\phi_2(t)$  tend to infinity near 0 at a rate slower than the functions  $t^{-a_1}$  and  $t^{-a_2}$ .
- Variance of  $T_n$  is finite, even if not analytically tractable.

# Applying the results - general case

## Mobility

*Cowell  
Flachaire*

### The Setting

Underlying problem

Measures

The approach

### Theory

Status vectors and  
mobility

Aggregate mobility  
index

Discussion

### Statistical

#### Inference

Income mobility

**Rank mobility**

### Finite sample performance

Income mobility

Rank mobility

### Conclusion

- $M_\alpha$  can be written as a function of  $T_n$ 
  - Note that  $\mu_u = \mu_v = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{i}{n} = \frac{n+1}{2n}$ .
- $M_\alpha$  as a function of one moment:  
$$M_\alpha = \frac{1}{\alpha(\alpha-1)} \left[ \frac{2n}{n+1} \mu_u^\alpha v^{1-\alpha} - 1 \right].$$
  - Hence,  $M_\alpha = \frac{1}{\alpha(\alpha-1)} [T_n - 1]$ .

# Applying the results - limiting cases

## Mobility

Cowell  
Flachaire

### The Setting

Underlying problem

Measures

The approach

### Theory

Status vectors and  
mobility

Aggregate mobility  
index

Discussion

### Statistical

#### Inference

Income mobility

Rank mobility

#### Finite sample performance

Income mobility

Rank mobility

### Conclusion

- $M_0$  as a function of  $T_n$ 
  - $M_0 = \frac{2n}{n+1}(k - \mu_{v \log u}) = l - T_n.$
- $M_1$  as a function of  $T_n$ 
  - $M_1 = \frac{2n}{n+1}(k - \mu_{u \log v}) = l - T_n$
- It can be shown that the relevant conditions are met for  $-0.5 < \alpha < 1.5$ .
  - $M_\alpha$  is asymptotically normal
  - asymptotic justification for the bootstrap

# Income mobility

## Mobility

*Cowell  
Flachaire*

### The Setting

Underlying problem

Measures

The approach

### Theory

Status vectors and  
mobility

Aggregate mobility  
index

Discussion

### Statistical Inference

Income mobility

Rank mobility

### Finite sample performance

Income mobility

Rank mobility

### Conclusion

- coverage error rate of a confidence interval
  - probability that CI does not include the true value of a parameter
  - should be close to the nominal rate
  - e.g. 5% for a 95% CI
- use Monte-Carlo simulations to approximate coverage error rates for different methods:
  - asymptotic
  - percentile bootstrap
  - and studentized bootstrap

# Asymptotic confidence intervals

## Mobility

Cowell  
Flachaire

### The Setting

Underlying problem

Measures

The approach

### Theory

Status vectors and  
mobility

Aggregate mobility  
index

Discussion

### Statistical Inference

Income mobility

Rank mobility

### Finite sample performance

Income mobility

Rank mobility

### Conclusion

- $CI_{asym} = [M_\alpha - c_{0.975} \widehat{\text{Var}}(M_\alpha)^{1/2}; M_\alpha + c_{0.975} \widehat{\text{Var}}(M_\alpha)^{1/2}]$
- $c_{0.975}$  is a critical value from the Student distribution  $T(n - 1)$ .
- finite sample performance often poor
- bootstrap confidence intervals can be expected to perform better

# Percentile bootstrap method

## Mobility

Cowell  
Flachaire

### The Setting

Underlying problem

Measures

The approach

### Theory

Status vectors and  
mobility

Aggregate mobility  
index

Discussion

### Statistical Inference

Income mobility

Rank mobility

### Finite sample performance

Income mobility

Rank mobility

### Conclusion

- does not require the (asymptotic) standard error
- Method:
  - generate  $B$  bootstrap samples by resampling in the original data
  - for each resample, we compute the mobility index.
  - obtain  $B$  bootstrap statistics,  $M_{\alpha}^b, b = 1, \dots, B$ .
- The percentile bootstrap confidence interval is equal to  $CI_{perc} = [c_{0.025}^b; c_{0.975}^b]$ 
  - $c_{0.025}^b$  and  $c_{0.975}^b$  are the 2.5 and 97.5 percentiles of the EDF of the bootstrap statistics.

# Studentized bootstrap method

## Mobility

Cowell  
Flachaire

### The Setting

Underlying problem

Measures

The approach

### Theory

Status vectors and  
mobility

Aggregate mobility  
index

Discussion

### Statistical

#### Inference

Income mobility

Rank mobility

### Finite sample

#### performance

Income mobility

Rank mobility

### Conclusion

- uses the asymptotic standard error
- Method:
  - generate  $B$  bootstrap samples by resampling in the original data
  - for each resample, compute a  $t$ -statistic.
  - obtain  $B$  bootstrap  $t$ -statistics
  - $t_{\alpha}^b = (M_{\alpha}^b - M_{\alpha}) / \widehat{\text{Var}}(M_{\alpha}^b)^{1/2}$ ,  $b = 1, \dots, B$ ,
  - where  $M_{\alpha}$  is the original mobility index
- $CI_{stud} = [M_{\alpha} - c_{0.975}^* \widehat{\text{Var}}(M_{\alpha})^{1/2}; M_{\alpha} - c_{0.025}^* \widehat{\text{Var}}(M_{\alpha})^{1/2}]$ 
  - $c_{0.025}^*$  and  $c_{0.975}^*$  are percentiles of the EDF of the bootstrap  $t$ -statistics.

# Comparison

## Mobility

*Cowell  
Flachaire*

### The Setting

Underlying problem

Measures

The approach

### Theory

Status vectors and  
mobility

Aggregate mobility  
index

Discussion

### Statistical Inference

Income mobility

Rank mobility

### Finite sample performance

Income mobility

Rank mobility

### Conclusion

- studentized bootstrap based on asymptotically pivotal statistic
- t-statistics follow (asymptotically) a known distribution
  - superior performance of the bootstrap over asymptotic confidence intervals
- both bootstrap intervals asymmetric, asymptotic confidence interval is symmetric
  - bootstrap CIs more accurate if the underlying distribution is asymmetric.



# Experiments

## Mobility

Cowell  
Flachaire

### The Setting

Underlying problem

Measures

The approach

### Theory

Status vectors and  
mobility

Aggregate mobility  
index

Discussion

### Statistical Inference

Income mobility

Rank mobility

### Finite sample performance

Income mobility

Rank mobility

### Conclusion

- Bivariate Lognormal distribution:  $(x_0, x_1) \sim LN(\mu, \Sigma)$   
with  $\mu = (0, 0)$  and  $\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \rho \\ \rho & 1 \end{pmatrix}$
- mobility increases as  $\rho$  decreases
- we try different mobility indices, different sample sizes and different mobility levels
- for each combination:
  - draw 10,000 samples (from the bivariate lognormal distribution)
  - compute  $M_\alpha$
  - compute confidence interval at 95%
  - How often does the interval does not include the true parameter?

# Asymptotic confidence intervals

## Mobility

*Cowell  
Flachaire*

$\alpha$	-1	0	0.5	1	2
$n = 100, \rho = 0$	0.3686	0.1329	0.1092	0.1357	0.3730
$n = 100, \rho = 0.2$	0.3160	0.1334	0.1136	0.1325	0.3194
$n = 100, \rho = 0.4$	0.2664	0.1353	0.1221	0.1351	0.2889
$n = 100, \rho = 0.6$	0.2175	0.1346	0.1275	0.1361	0.2263
$n = 100, \rho = 0.8$	0.1718	0.1349	0.1304	0.1345	0.1753
$n = 100, \rho = 0.9$	0.1528	0.1321	0.1308	0.1329	0.1531
$n = 100, \rho = 0.99$	0.1355	0.1340	0.1331	0.1324	0.1333
$n = 200, \rho = 0$	0.3351	0.1077	0.0923	0.1107	0.3153
$n = 500, \rho = 0$	0.2594	0.0830	0.0696	0.0818	0.2631
$n = 1000, \rho = 0$	0.2164	0.0703	0.0609	0.0726	0.2181
$n = 5000, \rho = 0$	0.1713	0.0554	0.0469	0.0522	0.2066
$n = 10000, \rho = 0$	0.1115	0.0532	0.0527	0.0534	0.1151

Table: Coverage error rate of asymptotic confidence intervals at 95% of income mobility measures. The nominal error rate is 0.05, 10.000 replications

The Setting

Underlying problem

Measures

The approach

Theory

Status vectors and mobility

Aggregate mobility index

Discussion

Statistical Inference

Income mobility

Rank mobility

Finite sample performance

Income mobility

Rank mobility

Conclusion

# Results

## Mobility

*Cowell  
Flachaire*

### The Setting

Underlying problem

Measures

The approach

### Theory

Status vectors and  
mobility

Aggregate mobility  
index

Discussion

### Statistical Inference

Income mobility

Rank mobility

### Finite sample performance

Income mobility

Rank mobility

### Conclusion

- Distribution-independent, static.
- Recall: coverage error rate should be close to 5%
  - asymptotic intervals perform poorly for  $\alpha = -1, 2$
  - coverage error rate is stable as  $\rho$  varies (for  $\alpha = 0, 0.5, 1$  and  $n = 100$ )
  - coverage error rate decreases as  $n$  increases
  - coverage error rate close to 0.05 for  $n \geq 5,000$  and  $\alpha = 0, 0.5, 1$ .
- asymptotic confidence intervals perform well in very large sample, with  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ .
- dismal performance of asymptotic confidence intervals for small and moderate samples
  - poorest results for  $\rho = 0.8$
  - try other two methods for this value of  $\rho$

# Other methods

## Mobility

*Cowell  
Flachaire*

$\alpha$	-1	0	0.5	1	2
$n = 100, \rho = 0.8$					
Asymptotic	0.1718	0.1349	0.1304	0.1345	0.1753
Boot-perc	0.1591	0.1294	0.1215	0.1266	0.1552
Boot-stud	0.0931	0.0751	0.0732	0.076	0.0952
$n = 200, \rho = 0.8$					
Asymptotic	0.1315	0.0973	0.0927	0.0973	0.1276
Boot-perc	0.1222	0.0943	0.0900	0.0950	0.1176
Boot-stud	0.0794	0.0666	0.0660	0.0688	0.0791
$n = 500, \rho = 0.8$					
Asymptotic	0.1127	0.0847	0.0828	0.0857	0.1124
Boot-perc	0.1054	0.0814	0.0813	0.0843	0.1036
Boot-stud	0.0765	0.0641	0.0629	0.0630	0.0779
$n = 1,000, \rho = 0.8$					
Asymptotic	0.0880	0.0678	0.0659	0.0672	0.0864
Boot-perc	0.0862	0.0672	0.0661	0.0689	0.0851
Boot-stud	0.0680	0.0585	0.0589	0.0596	0.0693

Table: Coverage error rate of asymptotic and bootstrap confidence intervals at 95% of income mobility measures. 10 000 replications, 199 bootstraps

The Setting

Underlying problem

Measures

The approach

Theory

Status vectors and mobility

Aggregate mobility index

Discussion

Statistical

Inference

Income mobility

Rank mobility

Finite sample performance

Income mobility

Rank mobility

Conclusion

# Results

## Mobility

*Cowell  
Flachaire*

### The Setting

Underlying problem

Measures

The approach

### Theory

Status vectors and  
mobility

Aggregate mobility  
index

Discussion

### Statistical Inference

Income mobility

Rank mobility

### Finite sample performance

**Income mobility**

Rank mobility

### Conclusion

- percentile bootstrap and asymptotic confidence intervals perform similarly
- studentized bootstrap confidence intervals outperform other methods
  - significant improvement over asymptotic confidence intervals

# Rank mobility

## Mobility

*Cowell  
Flachaire*

### The Setting

Underlying problem

Measures

The approach

### Theory

Status vectors and  
mobility

Aggregate mobility  
index

Discussion

### Statistical Inference

Income mobility

Rank mobility

### Finite sample performance

Income mobility

**Rank mobility**

### Conclusion

- *distribution-dependent, dynamic* status
- variance of  $M_\alpha$  is not analytically tractable
  - cannot use asymptotic and studentized bootstrap confidence intervals
  - use the percentile bootstrap method

# Percentile bootstrap method (n=100)

## Mobility

*Cowell  
Flachaire*

### The Setting

Underlying problem

Measures

The approach

### Theory

Status vectors and  
mobility

Aggregate mobility  
index

Discussion

### Statistical Inference

Income mobility

Rank mobility

### Finite sample performance

Income mobility

Rank mobility

### Conclusion

$\alpha$	-0.5	0	0.5	1	1.5
$\rho = 0$	0.5592	0.1575	0.1088	0.1583	0.5282
$\rho = 0.2$	0.3176	0.1122	0.0884	0.1135	0.3231
$\rho = 0.4$	0.1883	0.0931	0.0755	0.0913	0.1876
$\rho = 0.6$	0.1122	0.0767	0.0651	0.0741	0.1118
$\rho = 0.8$	0.0671	0.0593	0.0555	0.0590	0.0652
$\rho = 0.9$	0.0432	0.0430	0.0431	0.0441	0.0446
$\rho = 0.99$	0.0983	0.0985	0.0981	0.0984	0.0992

Table: Coverage error rate of percentile bootstrap confidence intervals at 95% of rank-mobility measures. 10 000 replications, 199 bootstraps and 100 observations.

# Results

## Mobility

*Cowell  
Flachaire*

### The Setting

Underlying problem  
Measures  
The approach

### Theory

Status vectors and  
mobility  
Aggregate mobility  
index  
Discussion

### Statistical Inference

Income mobility  
Rank mobility

### Finite sample performance

Income mobility  
**Rank mobility**

### Conclusion

- the coverage error rate can be very different for different values of  $\rho$  and  $\alpha$ ,
- it decreases as  $\rho$  increases, except for the case of “nearly” zero mobility ( $\rho = 0.99$ ).
- the coverage error rate is close to 0.05 for  $\rho = 0.8, 0.9$  and  $\alpha = 0, 0.5, 1$ .
- What happens as the sample size increases?



# Percentile bootstrap method (variable n)

## Mobility

*Cowell  
Flachaire*

$\alpha$	-0.5	0	0.5	1	1.5
$n = 100, \rho = 0$	0.5592	0.1575	0.1088	0.1583	0.5282
$n = 200$	0.4613	0.1143	0.0833	0.1180	0.4723
$n = 500$	0.3548	0.0868	0.0645	0.0814	0.3644
$n = 1000$	0.3135	0.0672	0.0556	0.0735	0.3170
$n = 100, \rho = 0.9$	0.0432	0.0430	0.0431	0.0441	0.0446
$n = 200$	0.0454	0.0441	0.0456	0.0454	0.0459
$n = 500$	0.0500	0.0499	0.0485	0.0480	0.0483
$n = 1000$	0.0511	0.0509	0.0539	0.0538	0.0538
$n = 100, \rho = 0.99$	0.0983	0.0985	0.0981	0.0984	0.0992
$n = 200$	0.0981	0.0971	0.0970	0.0974	0.0977
$n = 500$	0.0855	0.0838	0.0833	0.0822	0.083
$n = 1000$	0.0788	0.0777	0.0762	0.0767	0.0771

Table: Coverage error rate of percentile bootstrap confidence intervals at 95% of rank-mobility measures. 10 000 replications, 199 bootstraps.

The Setting

Underlying problem

Measures

The approach

Theory

Status vectors and mobility

Aggregate mobility index

Discussion

Statistical Inference

Income mobility

Rank mobility

Finite sample performance

Income mobility

Rank mobility

Conclusion

# Results

## Mobility

*Cowell  
Flachaire*

### The Setting

Underlying problem

Measures

The approach

### Theory

Status vectors and  
mobility

Aggregate mobility  
index

Discussion

### Statistical Inference

Income mobility

Rank mobility

### Finite sample performance

Income mobility

**Rank mobility**

### Conclusion

- the coverage error rate gets closer to 0.05 as the sample size increases,
- the coverage error rate is smaller when  $\alpha = 0, 0.5, 1$ .
- better statistical properties as the sample size increases

# Conclusion

## Mobility

*Cowell  
Flachaire*

### The Setting

Underlying problem

Measures

The approach

### Theory

Status vectors and  
mobility

Aggregate mobility  
index

Discussion

### Statistical

#### Inference

Income mobility

Rank mobility

### Finite sample

#### performance

Income mobility

Rank mobility

### Conclusion

- Key step involves a logical separation of fundamental concepts
  - measure of individual status
  - aggregation of changes in status
- Status concept derived directly from the information in the marginals
- Apply standard principles to movements in status
  - get a superclass of mobility measures
  - generally applicable to wide variety of status concepts
  - parameter  $\alpha$  that determines type of mobility measure
- Principal status types yield statistically tractable mobility indices